

BOARD COMPOSITION & VOTING STRUCTURE

Ad Hoc Committee Meeting

January 31, 2022
Second Meeting

Agenda

- Follow up items from January 24th meeting
 1. Sacramento Library governance structure
 2. Information on competitive funding
 3. Board seat for non-elected members (SacRT history)
- Options for potential changes
- Other considerations
- Next Steps

Follow up Item # 1: Sacramento County Public Library governance structure

- Governed by Joint Exercise Powers Agreement between the County of Sacramento, Cities of Citrus Heights, Galt, Isleton, Elk Grove, Rancho Cordova and Sacramento
- Representation is based on population:
 - 5 members from the County Board of Supervisors
 - One member of the City Council of each participating City with a population of 50,000 or more, appointed by that City Council
 - One member appointed jointly by the City Councils of all of the participating Cities with a population less than 50,000 each, who shall be a member of one of the City Councils
 - Each City shall be entitled to appoint an additional member for each 100,000 increment in its incorporated population above the threshold population of 50,000, up to 5 members per City
- Current make up:
 - 5 members from the County Board of Supervisors
 - 5 members from the City of Sacramento
 - 2 members from Elk Grove; 1 for Rancho Cordova; 1 for Citrus Heights
 - Cities of Galt and Isleton are co-represented by one member; currently from Galt

Follow up Item # 2: Competitive Funding Past 5 years

# of Projects	Benefiting Jurisdiction	Award Totals	Jurisdiction Allocation
15	City of Folsom, City of Rancho Cordova, Sacramento County, City of Sacramento, City of Elk Grove	\$216,998,903	20%
3	All	\$25,127,100	15%
1	County of Sacramento	\$7,936,459	100%
2	City of Sacramento and County of Sacramento	<u>\$3,240,000</u>	50%
	Total Awards	\$253,302,462	

There are a significant amount of matching funds from Federal, State, and Local sources to secure these competitive grants.

Follow up Item # 3: Board seat for non-elected officials (SacRT history)

- According to Mark Gilbert (Counsel to SacRT from 1978 – 2008), pursuant to SacRT's Enabling Act, members to the SacRT Board have always been appointed by the City Councils and the County Board of Supervisors for the jurisdictions with seats on the Board;
- However, in the early years, the City of Sacramento and the County of Sacramento, which were the only entities on the SacRT Board at the time, appointed members to the SacRT Board who were not elected officials, rather than members of the City Council or the County Board of Supervisors. Cal. PUC Sec. 102100.2 was interpreted to allow both entities to appoint non-elected officials to SacRT's Board by the then SacRT Chief Counsel, instead of appointing elected officials;
- In the 1980s when the Starter Line was being constructed, the enormity and importance of the project prompted the City and the County to reconsider the appointment structure and both entities began replacing the non-elected members of the Board with members from the Sacramento City Council and the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors and by 1992, the Board was comprised of only elected officials.
- Thus, while the early SacRT Board did have non-elected officials appointed to it, they were always appointed in compliance with the Enabling Act that requires the City Council and the Board of Supervisors to make those appointments.

Potential Options for Board Structure Change (Board Discussion)

1. Add one seat to the County of Sacramento and add one seat to the City of Elk Grove (total of 13 seats)
2. Reduce the City of Sacramento by one seat and add one seat to the City of Elk Grove (total of 12 seats)
3. Wait until Yolo/West Sacramento annexation and then add one seat to the City of Elk Grove

Other Considerations for Board Structure Change

- Modify Cal. PUC Sec. 102100.2 to clarify whether non-elected officials can be appointed by the cities or the County to sit on SacRT's Board. The current language is unclear but has historically been interpreted to allow non-elected officials to sit on the Board.
- Modify Cal PUC Sec. 102100.3 to authorize the reallocation of seats every 10 years based on population shifts. Staff proposes language along the following lines:

“The number of board seats apportioned to each member jurisdiction may increase or decrease based on changes and shifts in population within the district. Every ten years, the member jurisdictions shall convene within 180 days of release of the latest official census data and they shall evaluate changes in population growth or decline and population shifts among the various jurisdictions with representation on the Board. If the data indicates a need for reapportionment, the member jurisdictions will collectively determine the number of seats each jurisdiction is entitled to and reapportion seats among the jurisdictions to reflect the changes in population growth or decline. Each member jurisdiction will be given at least one seat on the Board.”

Board Discussion